## FY2013 Teaching Art Together Grant (Spring) Panelist Assessment Sheet

APP: «APP»

School: «Legal\_Name»

## **Scoring Scale**

0	No response to expectation
1	Application response demonstrates ineffective strategies and/or has an inadequate description
2	Application response demonstrates questionable strategies and/or has a partially developed description
3	Application response demonstrates effective strategies and has an adequate description
4	Application response demonstrates exemplary strategies and has a completely developed description

Performance Expectation		Panel Scoring (CHANGES ONLY) (0-4)
1. Planning and Implementation (45%)		
Quality/level of student engagement in the hands-on creation of art	0 1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3 4
Number of core groups, non-core groups and professional development hours are clearly delineated in the schedule	0 1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3 4
The residency ties to the Program of Studies, National Arts Curriculum, and related area standards for Kentucky	0 1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3 4
Teachers are encouraged to participate in the creative process.	0 1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3 4
Parents and community members are aware of the residency.	0 1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3 4

2. Gathering and Responding to Evidence (35%)	Pre-Panel Scoring (0-4)	Panel Scoring (CHANGES ONLY) (0-4)
Measurement of the impact of the residency on all participants	0 1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3 4
Anticipated incorporation of arts instruction strategies gained during the residency into the regular classroom experience after the residency	0 1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3 4
3. Accessibility (20%)	Pre-Panel Scoring (0-4)	Panel Scoring (CHANGES ONLY) (0-4)
Understanding and appreciation for diversity within the school and community	0 1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3 4
Instructional strategies for serving persons with disabilities	0 1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3 4

Revised 07/2012 2 Panelist: